Date: Wed, 26 Oct 94 00:41:16 PDT

From: Info-Hams Mailing List and Newsgroup <info-hams@ucsd.edu>

Errors-To: Info-Hams-Errors@UCSD.Edu

Reply-To: Info-Hams@UCSD.Edu

Precedence: List

Subject: Info-Hams Digest V94 #1158

To: Info-Hams

Info-Hams Digest Wed, 26 Oct 94 Volume 94 : Issue 1158

Today's Topics:

Send Replies or notes for publication to: <Info-Hams@UCSD.Edu> Send subscription requests to: <Info-Hams-REQUEST@UCSD.Edu>

Problems you can't solve otherwise to brian@ucsd.edu.

Archives of past issues of the Info-Hams Digest are available (by FTP only) from UCSD.Edu in directory "mailarchives/info-hams".

We trust that readers are intelligent enough to realize that all text herein consists of personal comments and does not represent the official policies or positions of any party. Your mileage may vary. So there.

Date: Tue, 25 Oct 1994 13:47:00 GMT From: gary@ke4zv.atl.ga.us (Gary Coffman) Subject: ##Help my HT set the car alarm off##

In article <38hlgk\$bud@silver.scs.unr.edu> nsombat@scs.unr.edu (Nat Sombat)
writes:

> I just put RF concepts 30 watts amp. in my car and every times I >key on the air the car alarm go off. It'll stop as soon as I let off the >key. When I turn the amp. off it's find. I have call RF concepts and >they told me to use aluminum foid to wrap around the brain unit and >siren. It's still go off everytimes. I have 5/8 larsen mount on the

>roof. The SWR read lower than 1.5:1. Any idea, what I have to do to >have 30 watts amp. and car alarm.

You haven't told us what make and model of car this is in. That can help because there are known fixes, and known lost causes, with different models. Also, is the Larsen thru-hole mounted, or a mag mount? Is the amplifier firmly RF grounded to the vehicle chassis? How are you feeding DC power to the amp? What kind of coax are you using?

All of these questions need answers before we can help. I suspect you're suffering from high levels of RF in the cabin due to improper shielding or grounding of the installation, but it could be something else. We need details (that's where the devil lives).

Gary

- -

Gary Coffman KE4ZV | You make it, | gatech!wa4mei!ke4zv!gary
Destructive Testing Systems | we break it. | emory!kd4nc!ke4zv!gary
534 Shannon Way | Guaranteed! | gary@ke4zv.atl.ga.us
Lawrenceville, GA 30244 |

Date: Tue, 25 Oct 1994 13:22:21 GMT

From: gary@ke4zv.atl.ga.us (Gary Coffman)

Subject: anyone know anything about hallicrafters

Yep, they were very popular transmitting tubes. Pushed hard in amateur service, they'd make almost 100 watts, a pair of either kind could do it comfortably. Most of the rigs using 807s are gone now, but you'll still find quite a few rigs with 6146s in the finals.

Gary

- -

Gary Coffman KE4ZV | You make it, | gatech!wa4mei!ke4zv!gary
Destructive Testing Systems | we break it. | emory!kd4nc!ke4zv!gary
534 Shannon Way | Guaranteed! | gary@ke4zv.atl.ga.us

```
Lawrenceville, GA 30244
______
Date: 25 Oct 1994 08:43:11 -0700
From: arthurc@crl.com (Arthur Chandler)
Subject: CW Palindrome Game!
 OK, remember what a palindrome is -- a word or a sentence spelled the
same way backwards or forwards:
   Dad
   Radar
   A Toyota
   A man, a plan, a canal -- Panama
   Able was I ere I saw Elba.
 The other night, while going nuts studying for the code exam, I began
to wonder about Morse code Palindromes -- words or sentences for which
the dots and dashes would be symmetrical around a center. Such as:
           .-- .. --.
     wig
 Easy one = He is 5
 Who can come up with the longest single word or longest sentence that
would be a CW palindrome? Extra points if you include a punctuation mark.
Date: Tue, 25 Oct 1994 13:09:26 GMT
From: gary@ke4zv.atl.ga.us (Gary Coffman)
Subject: Guess What I did Saturday!
In article <38gsj8$2np@masala.cc.uh.edu> djenkins@jetson.uh.edu (David Jenkins)
writes:
>In <sdarragh-241094105246@sdarragh-mac.cisco.com>, sdarragh@cisco.com (Scott
Darragh) writes:
    >>...-. .. .-. - -.-. -.- -.- -.-. - .-.- -.-- -.-- -.--
>>...-
>
>
>[more coded stuff clipped]
>I think it's interesting that I can't read a word of this, even though I
>am actively using code (albeit slowly, to be truthful about it). Oh, I
>guess I could read the above if I tediously deciphered each character,
>but what seeing this message has really brought home to me is
>the extent to which I've come to depend on the *sound* to
```

>signify the meaning of the symbol--not the character's visual >representation, even though I tend to think in terms of "dots" and >"dashes".

Scott said, "HEYIPASSEDMYCODETHISWEEKEND.IEVENHADMYFIRSTCONTACT.YOU THOUGHTIWANTEDARADIOBEFOREHOWYOUTHINKIFEELNOW.IGOTMYFIRSTCONTACTFROM CALLINGCO.IGUESSIWASNTBASHFUL.IAMTOTALLYLOOKINGFORWARDTOGETTINGACWR ADIO.<ditdit>SCOTT

I'd add that Scott should work on his word spacing. :-)

>Scott: did you learn code by seeing it in the dot-dash form? The >resources I've used to learn code have all steered clear of *any* visual >presentation of the characters.

Some of us can read it better than we can hear it. That's what old Sammy Morse had in mind when he built his telegraph. I would get out a port rule and rip off a reply, but ASCII is so much nicer, so...

Congratulations, Scott!

Gary

Gary Coffman KE4ZV | You make it, | gatech!wa4mei!ke4zv!gary Destructive Testing Systems | we break it. | emory!kd4nc!ke4zv!gary | gary@ke4zv.atl.ga.us 534 Shannon Way Guaranteed! Lawrenceville, GA 30244

Date: 25 Oct 1994 14:42:39 GMT

From: vbreault@rinhp750.gmr.com (Val Breault)

Subject: HOW TO LEARN CW???

In article <2d.26369.2003.0N851631@exchange.com>, bob.stanton@exchange.com (Bob Stanton) writes...

I give up! I have been trying to learn the code since before >I was licensed with no luck. I have tried tapes... all I do is memorize >the tape... not the code. I sit in front on my computer pounding my >head on the keyboard (figuratively). I HATE CW!!! >Bob KD4ARD

I used a technique similar to the one Tom N100Q mentioned. I set up my keyer to generate random letters/digits/prosigns in five character groups. I'd listen to the keyer or to a tape made from the keyer at a rate where I could get 4 out of 5 characters (80% copy). Four out of five seems to be a good challenge point for me. I don't get discouraged at that point because I can achieve well over half of it and 100% isn't very far off.

Day by day I'd adjust the speed to maintain a constant 80% challenge. Some days that would be faster than the day before, some days it would be slower, but the challenge point was always 4 out of 5. I didn't keep detailed records of my progress but on a month by month basis there was a very definite and pronounced positive trend.

In spite of having some very serious family problems at that time I managed to go from nothing to Extra in about a year and a quarter using this technique.

I listened to the practice tape whenever I was in my car. In fact, I went a whole year without turning on the car radio. When I finally did turn it on, I discovered two things: (1) The FM section would no longer work, and (2) I didn't care. I'd listen to code in the background when I was doing other things around the house. I'd pause a moment here and there to grab a few groups and then carry on. I'd tap out street signs on my thigh while driving. I'd whistle license plates. (I'd *REALLY* get excited whistling out amateur radio callsign license plates.) I'd listen for morse code in the normal computer room background noise.

The most important thing is to never NEVER get discouraged. Discouragement will kill enthusiasm every time and enthusiasm is your best friend.

We haven't heard much from Joe Mastroianni AA6YD lately but I owe a lot of my enthusiasm to his writing. One of his posts answered your query quite well. A tidbit from something he wrote back in 1992 went something like:

"Rip your HF rig microphone forcably from it's socket. Place it squarely on a firm concrete surface. Apply a 7lb sledge hammer. Scream 'God is on MY side'. Repeat until well done. Do the same to the microphone on your 2M mobile rig if there is any possibility it is compatible with your HF rig. Motivation bordering on religious fanaticism will help you learn CW VERY QUICKLY." ... "Connect your HF rig to your home stereo and play the W1AW practice at 108dB above ambient. This is a sound you can internalize. FEEL the letters and numbers. Write them on a large blank wall. Get the kids to help. They LOVE this kind of thing."

Remember if you can do 2 words per minute you can still do more than 99% of the people you graduated with.

Date: Tue, 25 Oct 1994 14:54:13 GMT

From: waco@intgp12.ih.att.com (-Broughton,J.L.)

Subject: Intl call sign servers/CDs

> I have a list of callsign servers and an Amsoft CDROM but they only

- > have FCC/American calls. Does anyone know of a server or CD CD that has
- > international calls as well?

There are some international calls on my Buckmaster CD. However, there is also a notice that some countries do not allow this information to be distributed electronically -- it may be in the printed CallBook, but not on a CD. Gee, not a bad idea: protecting electronic dissemination of personal info. Too bad Uncle Sam didn't think of it.

73,

John, WB9VGJ

>-Evan Platt

John L. Broughton |

```
AT&T
                | snail mail: Room 1K-322
                           1200 E. Warrenville, Rd.
   ====----
                           P. O. Box 3045
  ======----
                            Naperville, IL, 60566-7045
                            (708) 713-4319
  =======---- | e-mail: john.l.broughton@att.com
                            att!john.l.broughton
                  air mail: WB9VGJ
______
Date: Tue, 25 Oct 1994 13:27:50 GMT
From: gary@ke4zv.atl.ga.us (Gary Coffman)
Subject: Motorola Amateur Group????
In article <7512213865202@infodude.com> bafpa@infodude.com writes:
>IJ>I am trying to find out if there is such a thing as a Motorola User Group
>IJ>-- you know, hams who use Motorola gear, etc...
>IJ>If you can shed light on this for me, I would very much apprecitate it.
>IJ>Thanks.
>I didn't think Motorola made HAM gear??? (So I heard..)
```

They just don't realize they're making ham gear. Once Motracs, Micors,

Mitreks, etc got into the surplus market, a lot of them wound up as ham repeaters. And before the Japanese HT craze, the HT220 ruled! Some people think it still does.

```
Gary
```

- -

Gary Coffman KE4ZV | You make it, | gatech!wa4mei!ke4zv!gary
Destructive Testing Systems | we break it. | emory!kd4nc!ke4zv!gary
534 Shannon Way | Guaranteed! | gary@ke4zv.atl.ga.us
Lawrenceville, GA 30244 |

Date: Tue, 25 Oct 1994 14:16:42 GMT From: sww@csuohio.edu (Steve Wolf)

Subject: NoCal 00 goes after Packet BULLetins

pouelle@uoft02.utoledo.edu wrote:

:

Extending this line of thought, and twisting it ever so slightly:

If a packet bulletin addressed to CHESS is not amateur related since it gives me

(an amateur radio operator) information from the author (another amateur - his

call is in the header info) about a mutual interest is considered a bulletin

since it is effectively addressed to all amateurs interested in CHESS and hence

a one way communication, all that has to happen if for the originating station

to receive one reply to the message to make it a two way (read non-broadcast)

exchange! If this is not correct, the next thing to be "attacked" in this

manner will be the net control operators starting the net. I have addressed

messages to groups like HARDWARE and received a number of replies - as far as

I'm concerned this is just another way to initiate the exchange of

information between two (or more) stations and therefore allowed under Part 97.

A "CQ" is indeed defined as a one-way transmission in 97.111. It is allowed. Appending a "CQ" to a signature file would be an obvious attempt to get around the content being a bulletin.

73, Steve

Internet: no8m@hamnet.wariat.org
Packet : no8m@no8m.#neoh.oh.usa.na

Date: 25 Oct 1994 15:56:19 GMT

From: sjhawk2@srv.PacBell.COM (Stephen Hawkins)

Subject: QSL route server

A while back there used to be a qsl database at qsl-info@rock.b11.ingr.com.

I tried it this morning and my mail bounced. Does this no longer exist or has it changed addresses?

Thanks Steve WV6U 73 49 0100 1001

Date: Tue, 25 Oct 1994 16:21:54 GMT

From: phb@syseng1.melpar.esys.com (Paul H. Bock)

Subject: Questions on this and that

djenkins@jetson.uh.edu (David Jenkins) writes:

>Still getting my newbie feet wet in HF CW. Here are a few questions that >have arisen over the last few weeks:

>1) I've seen a description of an RF ground feed that consists of a length >of RG-8, with ceramic capacitors between the shield and center leads at >each end. Since one end will be outside, what is the best method for >weatherproofing? Silicone caulking? Something else made expressly for >this application?

The only problem I have with silicon caulking is that acetic acid is an active ingredient (or used to be, anyway) and in the past I have encountered corrosion of the connection from the acid. Perhaps someone else has more up-to-date info.

>2) I heard WB9RUM talking to a CBAGV (I'm pretty sure) on CW last night. >Where would CBAGV be? Is that Chile? Is it the norm around the world for >the second or third character of the callsign to be numeric? If so, would >CBAGV then be some sort of special sign or did I probably just mess up >copying the callsign?

Probably C6AGV and he either sent it wrong or you mis-copied. I'm pretty sure Chile is CE, and I believe C6 is the Bahamas.

>3) I live in the far northwest area of grid EL29. In fact I may be in >another grid square altogether. Where can I find the latitude/longitude >definitions for the various grid elements?

Piece of cake. Grid squares are divided by degrees of latitude vertically (36,37,38,39,40, etc.) and every *two* degrees of longitude horizontally (76, 78, 80, 82, etc.). You can get a U.S. Grid Square map from ARRL for a buck.

>4) Did I read somehwere, or did I just make it up because it sounds >reasonable, that one signs (or may sign) #######/QRP when running low

>power?

Yes, you may do that and many QRPers do. I used to when I ran QRP, because I liked getting QSL cards addressed to "K4MSG/QRP" as "proof" that I was actually running QRP (it wasn't really "proof") but it made my QSL cards for QRP WAS seem more credible somehow).

>I know these questions are all over the place--thanks for your help.

If I was helpful, you're more than welcome.

(|_|) Paul H. Bock, Jr. K4MSG Internet: pbock@melpar.esys.com ||) Principal Systems Engineer Telephone: (703) 560-5000 x2062

"You can have my bug when you can pry my cold, dead fingers from around it...." - anonymous radiotelegraph operator

Date: 25 Oct 1994 15:55:56 GMT

From: djenkins@jetson.uh.edu (David Jenkins)

Subject: Questions on this and that

Still getting my newbie feet wet in HF CW. Here are a few questions that have arisen over the last few weeks:

- 1) I've seen a description of an RF ground feed that consists of a length of RG-8, with ceramic capacitors between the shield and center leads at each end. Since one end will be outside, what is the best method for weatherproofing? Silicone caulking? Something else made expressly for this application?
- 2) I heard WB9RUM talking to a CBAGV (I'm pretty sure) on CW last night. Where would CBAGV be? Is that Chile? Is it the norm around the world for the second or third character of the callsign to be numeric? If so, would CBAGV then be some sort of special sign or did I probably just mess up copying the callsign?
- 3) I live in the far northwest area of grid EL29. In fact I may be in another grid square altogether. Where can I find the latitude/longitude definitions for the various grid elements?
- 4) Did I read somehwere, or did I just make it up because it sounds reasonable, that one signs (or may sign) #####/QRP when running low power?

I know these questions are all over the place--thanks for your help.

David F. Jenkins
Decision and Information Sciences
University of Houston
KC5JRR

Date: Tue, 25 Oct 1994 12:15:06 GMT

From: gary@ke4zv.atl.ga.us (Gary Coffman)

Subject: Real Hams

In article <Cy4yx7.8r3@news.Hawaii.Edu> jeffrey@math.hawaii.edu writes:
>dale.piedfort@pcappbbs.com (Dale Piedfort) writes:

>>THREE:

>>

>>THE AMATEUR IS PROGRESSIVE....He keeps his station abreast of science.

>>It is well-built and efficient. His operating practice is above re-

>>proach.

>>

PAUL M. SEGAL 1929

>>

>>I guess all you real Hams who are condemming the No-Code Techincians >>reevalute your own lifestyle as a Amateur Radio Operator. From what I >....

>>have read in this conference you have managed to violate every one of >>the prescribed standards of being a REAL HAM.

>If the above code is a mark of a REAL HAM then you have eliminated >not only all the no-codes but many of the know-codes, too - maybe >maybe even yourself!

Not *all* of the code test free by any means, though certainly a majority of today's amateurs sadly lag the state of the art whether they've passed a code test or not.

>Notice that the `progressive' amateur above (in 1929) built *everything* >in his station.

Yep, that's because *state of the art* equipment wasn't practically available commercially in 1929. The ham wanting modern equipment on the leading edge of radio science had to build his own. That's when voice modes became popular, especially that cutting edge mode, FM, though even AM was fairly cutting edge back then. The primary advances were occuring in receivers, and hams pioneered double and triple conversion superhets, crystal filtering, etc.

>How many new hams today will take the time to learn *any* theory, >and apply that theory along with a soldering iron to make anything >at all (antennas surely don't count, nor does soldering a PL-259 >on the end of some coax). Even new hams into the 60's and 70's were >building their station from scratch while waiting for their >license to arrive, and actively spent weeks if not months *listening* >to gain the proper operating skills rather than repeadedly saying to >the world ``Where's my license? It's been xx weeks...'' When these >hams of yesteryear finally received their license they had a home->built station ready to go in which they knew every component.

Well a *few* of the neophyte hams of the 60s and 70s did this, most didn't. The term "appliance operator" first came to the fore just after WWII when all those nice appliances developed during the war came on the market. Systems engineering was the SOTA approach in the 60s and 70s as more complex, robust, and high performance communications systems were being assembled by progressive amateurs.

>>If I were you I would hang my head in shame, and apologize to all the >>Real Hams out there who suscribe to the Amateur's Code, with your >

>You're right. Anyone who has not built a good portion of their > station from scratch should be ashamed of himself.

>I guess I am a REAL HAM since *all* my transmitters are built from >scratch (they're all CW, of course).

You should hang your head in shame. That wasn't even SOTA in 1929. You should try assembling a station that could have been considered modern in at least the 1930s, if not the 1990s. You could start with something like a selective superhet receiver, or even something as advanced as Major Armstrong's FM rigs. That only takes a single chip today.

Gary

- -

Gary Coffman KE4ZV | You make it, | gatech!wa4mei!ke4zv!gary
Destructive Testing Systems | we break it. | emory!kd4nc!ke4zv!gary
534 Shannon Way | Guaranteed! | gary@ke4zv.atl.ga.us
Lawrenceville, GA 30244 | |

Date: Tue, 25 Oct 1994 13:24:52 GMT

From: gary@ke4zv.atl.ga.us (Gary Coffman)

Subject: Touch Tone Paging (DTSS)

In article <7512213859701@infodude.com> bafpa@infodude.com writes:
>
>IG>Most repeater controllers mask inputed Touchtones (tm). This is to
>IG>provide a bit of security for control functions of the repeater that
>IG>may be entered on the input frequency. That makes TT signaling schemes
>IG>via repeater fail.
>
>But if one were to listen on the input, he would hear the Touchtone,
>right?
>-Evan Platt

Perhaps. Consider the grossly different coverage footprints of a HT and a repeater.

Gary

- -

Gary Coffman KE4ZV | You make it, | gatech!wa4mei!ke4zv!gary
Destructive Testing Systems | we break it. | emory!kd4nc!ke4zv!gary
534 Shannon Way | Guaranteed! | gary@ke4zv.atl.ga.us
Lawrenceville, GA 30244 | |

Date: Tue, 25 Oct 1994 15:29:53 GMT From: dbushong@wang.com (Dave Bushong)

References<kevin.jessup.51.002D3402@mail.mei.com> <FiHNuc4w165w@lmr.mv.com>,<Cy6MMI.B56@wang.com>, <Cy7MvK.Gsx@utnetw.utoledo.edu> Subject: Re: NoCal 00 goes after Packet BULLetins

pouelle@uoft02.utoledo.edu writes:

>So you wouldn't be upset if I were to dedicate a packet BBS just to >posting cookie recipes? How about developing packet news groups? >Then the only poeple who would see the latest and by far tastiest >cookie recipe would be amateurs who wanted it. Oh, I forgot - you >can do that now, just ignore the posts to cookie, recipe, ect. Maybe >we should get the ARRL to propose to the FCC what topics are to be >talked about on each frequency for each band.

I don't think that would be a good idea. I think you should be able to talk about whatever you feel like talking about. The same is true for packet, or any other mode. It's just that indiscriminately posting bulletins to every PBBS in the country about things that are not of interest to the general ham population is a waste of resources and annoying. Depending on the interpretation of 97.113(b), it might also be illegal.

```
Dave, KZ10
Dave Bushong
OPEN/image Recognition Products
Date: Tue, 25 Oct 1994 13:54:48 GMT
From: gary@ke4zv.atl.ga.us (Gary Coffman)
References<phb.782747783@melpar> <19940ct21.173653.24462@ke4zv.atl.ga.us>,
<38i2lo$aa0@crl5.crl.com>
Reply-To: gary@ke4zv.atl.ga.us (Gary Coffman)
Subject: Re: CW Learning: Going slow. : (
In article <38i2lo$aa0@crl5.crl.com> hbs@crl.com (Henry B. Smith) writes:
>Gary,
>You are absolutely correct.
>But then on the other hand, I can tell a lot by a person's "fist".
>For example: I can tell if he is new at this or an old timer; if he is
>using a straight key or a bug; if he is nervous or at ease.
>Could we say that CW can have a certain "inflection" in the "fist"
>that can tell us somthing about the individual?
No more so than if he were mailing you manually typewritten notes and
you noticed that certain letters were struck harder than others. All
that would indicate would be an uneven typing style. Sherlock Holmes
could then probably go into elaborate detail about that person's life
history, but the rest of us mundanes would just pass it off as an
unimportant quirk.
Gary
                               You make it, | gatech!wa4mei!ke4zv!gary we break it. | emory!kd4nc!ke4zv!gary
Gary Coffman KE4ZV
Destructive Testing Systems | we break it.
534 Shannon Way
                                 Guaranteed! | gary@ke4zv.atl.ga.us
Lawrenceville, GA 30244
Date: Tue, 25 Oct 1994 15:26:16 GMT
From: phb@syseng1.melpar.esys.com (Paul H. Bock)
References<19940ct21.173653.24462@ke4zv.atl.ga.us> <38i2lo$aa0@crl5.crl.com>,
```

<38j30g\$bkl@news.iastate.edu>
Subject: Re: CW Learning: Going slow. : (

One story I heard some years ago from the late W4QE was about the ham who wired an extra resistor into the "dot" side of his bug (this was back in the days of cathode keying) and the change in resistance (and resultant slight shift in bias level on the oscillator) "pulled" the oscillator in frequency so that dots were on a slightly different frequency than dashes. Talk about a distinctive fist.....I'm sure this would have been in the early '30s, before crystal control had caught on and when LC oscillators were commonplace. Of course, the "purity and stability of emissions" rules which were instituted in the '30s changed all that.

4MSG

End of Info-Hams Digest V94 #1158 ************